

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/332,803	VOGELS, RONALD	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	David Guzo	1636	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Amended

 (1) David Guzo.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) G. Scott Dorland.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** \_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

72, 76, 89

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative gave approval for an examiner's amendment to the claims which obviated a potential 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph rejection concerning the word "derived". The amendment to the specification was necessary to provide the proper SEQ ID NO identifier to a sequence disclosed in the drawings..